Case3:15-cv-00239-JSC Document1 Filed01/16/15 Page1 of 11 1 NATE A. GARHART (S.B. # 196872) (nate@cobaltlaw.com) VIJAY K. TOKE (S.B. # 215079) 2 (vijay@cobaltlaw.com) 3 ÀMANDA R. CONLÉY (S.B. #281270) (amanda@cobaltlaw.com) 4 COBALT LLP 5 918 Parker St., Bldg. A21 Berkeley, California 94710 6 Telephone: (510) 841-9800 Facsimile: (510) 295-2401 7 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 12 13 THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC, a New York Case No. 3:15-cv-239 Limited Liability Corporation, 14 COMPLAINT FOR COPYRIGHT Plaintiff, **INFRINGEMENT** 15 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED V. 16 VISA INC., a Delaware corporation, and 17 DOES 1-100, 18 Defendants. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

The Wave Studio, LLC ("Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, Cobalt LLP, for its complaint against Visa Inc. ("Visa") and Does 1-100 (collectively "Defendants") alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THIS ACTION

- 1. Plaintiff is the copyright owner of photographic works created by one of its members, Junior Lee. Ms. Lee is a Malaysian photographer who uses her pictures to create distinctive marketing and promotional materials for prominent hotels and renowned travel organizations worldwide. This is an action for copyright infringement arising out of Defendants' unauthorized reproduction, display, distribution, publication, and utilization of several of Plaintiff's photographs in connection with Defendants' promotion and sale of hotel and travel bookings on websites accessed across the United States.
- 2. Ms. Lee is commissioned either by hotel management companies and promotional agencies or by hotel and travel locations directly to photograph elite hotel properties and destination locales and to create marketing and promotional materials featuring her photographs. However, per the express terms of her contract with such agencies, Plaintiff retains sole and exclusive ownership of all right, title, and interest in and to the underlying photos, as well as the sole and exclusive right to license, distribute, and use the photographs for any and all other purposes.
- 3. As set forth in more detail below, Defendants have committed blatant acts of copyright infringement by improperly using Plaintiff's photographs without authorization for their own financial gain, including but not limited to, using Plaintiff's photographs in articles, photogalleries, and promotional materials, all of which are generating traffic to and revenue for Defendants and their businesses. By virtue of this action, Plaintiff should be awarded the appropriate injunctive relief and monetary damages to remedy and redress Defendants' rampant,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

willful, and continued misuse of Plaintiff's copyrighted photographs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. This Complaint alleges causes of action under the copyright laws of the United States, Title 17 of the United States Code.
- 5. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because Plaintiff's claims against Defendants arise under Title 17 of the United States Code.
- 6. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Visa because Visa has done and continues to do business in this District, including but not limited to entering into contracts with entities in this District and offering its services throughout this District. This Court also has personal jurisdiction over Visa because, though a Delaware corporation, Visa's headquarters and principal place of business are located in this district at 900 Metro Center Boulevard, Foster City, California 90017.
- 7. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 and 1400(a), venue properly lies in this Court because a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims alleged in this Complaint occurred in this judicial District and because Defendants reside or are found within this District within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. Section 1400(a).

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff, The Wave Studio, LLC, is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of New York, with its principal place of business at One Barker Avenue, #542, White Plains, New York 10601. Plaintiff is a business entity operated by photographer Junior Lee, a Malaysian citizen currently domiciled in Singapore. Ms. Lee creates marketing materials for businesses worldwide using her original works, but retains ownership of all rights, title, and

interest in and to all artistic works used therein. The Wave Studio, LLC was formed to hold, manage, and control the U.S. intellectual property rights to said works, including, but not limited to, several U.S. copyright registrations.

- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant Visa, Inc. is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at 900 Metro Center Boulevard, Foster City, California 90017. Upon information and belief, Defendant Visa, Inc., itself or through affiliated entities, owns and uses at least the domain names <visasignaturehotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, <myvisaluxuryhotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, and <mordinated survyguide.com>. Upon information and belief, Defendant Visa regularly transacts or solicits business in this district through, *inter alia*, websites resolving to <visasignaturehotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotels.com>, <visaluxuryhotelcollection.com>, <visaluxuryhot
- 10. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, or otherwise, of Defendants Does 1 through 100 are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who, therefore, sues them by such fictitious names. Plaintiff expects, but is presently without information sufficient to confirm, that one or more of Defendants distributed Plaintiff's photographs to third parties without Plaintiff's authorization and/or otherwise infringed upon Plaintiff's copyright rights to the works of art at issue in this case, infringing those copyright rights. At such time as Plaintiff ascertains the identity and nature of any such third parties, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint accordingly. On information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that each of Does 1 through 100 was the agent, representative, or employee of each of the other Defendants and was acting at

11.

all times within the scope of his, her, or its agency or representative capacity, and that each of Does 1 through 100 are liable to Plaintiff in connection with the claims sued upon here and are responsible in some manner for the wrongful acts and conduct alleged here.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Over her more than 20 year career, Junior Lee has established a widely successful

business in travel and hotel photography worldwide. She has photographed numerous storied properties, including The Setai Miami (USA), The Heritage House Mendocino (USA), The Chedi Milan (Italy), The Leela Goa (India), The Chedi Muscat (Oman), The Nam Hai Hoi An (Vietnam), The Chedi Chiang Mai (Thailand), The Chedi Phuket (Thailand), The Datai Langkawi (Malaysia), The Andaman Langkawi (Malaysia), The Saujana Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), The Club at The Saujana Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), Carcosa Seri Negara Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), The Legian Seminyak (Bali), The Club at The Legian Seminyak (Bali), The Chedi Club Ubud (Bali), The Serai Club Jimbaran (Bali), The Lalu Sun Moon Lake (Taiwan), Langsuan Apartment Bangkok (Thailand), Seah St. Apartment (Singapore), GHM Boutique Products (Singapore), The Fullerton Hotel (Singapore), La Pari-Pari Hotel Langkawi (Malaysia), and Four Seasons Great Exuma (Bahamas). Her photographs are highly sought after works of art that businesses worldwide routinely display in the course of promoting their facilities, custom, and trade. Her photographs of The Setai Miami, The Chedi Muscat, The Nam Hai Hoi An, The Chedi Club Ubud, The Chedi Chiang Mai, The Leela Goa, and The Daetai Langkawi (the "Hotel Photographs") are at issue in this matter. 12. Ms. Lee's photography business is promotional in nature. Generally, Ms. Lee is

2728

25

26

commissioned by her clientele to photograph certain items, personnel, sites, or facilities, modify

the images as necessary, and deliver the final product to her clientele for approval. Ms. Lee is

7 8

6

10 11

9

13

14

12

15

17

16

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

usually compensated via the client's purchase of marketing materials that incorporate her photographs. In other words, the client purchases the marketing materials designed and manufactured by Ms. Lee, inclusive of a limited license to distribute the marketing materials created by Ms. Lee. The client does not purchase the underlying photographs.

- 13. Ms. Lee specifically reserves all rights to her works that are not otherwise purchased by her clientele. One of the rights she reserves is the ownership of the photographs, including the copyright in and to the same.
- 14. Ms. Lee, through various business entities (including, but not limited to, Wave-s, The Wave Pte. Ltd. and The Wave Design Pte. Ltd.), obtained copyright registrations from the United States Copyright Office for the Hotel Photographs (collectively and individually, "Copyrighted Works"). True and correct copies of registration certificates for the Copyrighted Works are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. These copyright registrations are identified in the following chart:

Registration Number	Title of Work
VA 1-432-325	Wave-S photographs 2002
VA 1-432-326	The Wave Design Pte. Ltd. Photographs 2007 (A)
VA 1-432-328	Wave-s Photographs 2003
VA 1-432-329	Wave-s Photographs 2004
VA 1-432-331	The Wave Pte. Ltd. Photographs 2005 (A)
VA 1-432-332	The Wave Design Pte, Ltd. Photographs 2005 (B)
VA 1-758-524	Wave-s Photographs 2004 (B)
VA 1-825-429	Wave-s Photographs 2004 (D)-chediclub141
VAu 1-055-458	The Wave Design Pte. Ltd. Unpublished photographs 2005 (C) setai429 - setai803

- 15. On November 11, 2011, the authors of the Copyrighted Works, by and through Ms. Lee, assigned all right, title and interest in and to the Copyrighted Works to Plaintiff.
- 16. Plaintiff has never assigned, licensed, or otherwise transferred any interest in and to the

1 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF **Copyright Infringement** 2 (17 U.S.C. § 101 et seq.) 3 22. Plaintiff repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation 4 contained in paragraphs 1 through 21 above. 5 23. Defendants have directly infringed Plaintiff's Hotel Photographs that are the subject of the 6 Copyrighted Works by reproducing, displaying, and/or distributing unauthorized copies of 7 Plaintiff's photographs in violation of 17 U.S.C. § 501 et seq. 8 9 24. Defendants copied Plaintiff's entire images for their own personal commercial gain. 10 Moreover, Defendants' use of the Hotel Photographs is for the exact same purpose as Plaintiff's 11 intended use: to promote and market hotel properties and destination locations. Thus, there is no 12 added benefit to the public from having Defendants display Plaintiff's Hotel Photographs. 13 25. Specifically, without authorization or consent, Defendants have reproduced, displayed, 14 and/or distributed at least Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works of Registration Nos. VA 1-432-325; VA 15 16 1-432-326; VA 1-432-328; VA 1-432-329; VA 1-432-331; VA 1-432-332; VA 1-758-524; VA 1-17 825-429; and VAu 1-055-458. True and correct copies of Defendants' websites demonstrating 18 their unauthorized use of Plaintiff's Copyrighted Works are attached as Exhibit 2. 19 26. Defendants' infringement of Plaintiff's rights in and to each of the Hotel Photographs that 20 are the subject of the Copyrighted Works constitutes a separate and distinct act of infringement. 21 27. Upon information and belief, Defendants knew or should have known that their acts 22 constituted copyright infringement. 23 24 28. Defendants' conduct was willful within the meaning of the Copyright Act. 25 29. Plaintiff has been damaged by Defendants' conduct, including, but not limited to, 26 economic losses. Plaintiff continues to be damaged by such conduct, and has no adequate remedy 27 28

1 E. For entry of judgment that Defendants shall pay Plaintiff's costs and attorneys' fees 2 incurred in this action, pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 505; 3 F. For entry of judgment that Plaintiff be granted such other relief as the Court deems just, 4 equitable and proper. 5 6 Dated: January 16, 2015 7 COBALT LLP 8 9 By /s/ Nate A. Garhart Nate A. Garhart 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff 11 The Wave Studio, LLC 12 13 JURY DEMAND 14 15 The Wave Studio, LLC respectfully requests a jury trial on all issues triable thereby. 16 17 Dated: January 16, 2015 18 COBALT LLP 19 20 By: /s/ Nate A. Garhart 21 Nate A. Garhart 22 Attorneys for Plaintiff The Wave Studio, LLC 23 24 25 26 27 28 THE WAVE STUDIO, LLC

Case3:15-cv-00239-JSC Document1 Filed01/16/15 Page11 of 11